4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26

27 28

RICHLAND COUNTY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS PUBLIC HEARING

2 September 2009, 1:00 pm

Present: Chairman Joshua McDuffie, Harold Branham, Elaine Perrine, Torrey Rush, Sheldon Cooke, William Smith; Absent: Suzanne Cecere

Called to order: 1:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Let's call the meeting of the Richland County Board of Zoning Appeals to order. We have a quorum today and at this time I'd like to turn the meeting over to our attorney, Ms. Amelia Linder.

MS. LINDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon, gentlemen, ladies. My name is Amelia Linder. I'm the attorney for the Board of Zoning Appeals. We have two cases on the Agenda for today. And if you are the applicant you will be able to address the Board first. We will go in the order that they are presented on the Agenda. First case that we will take up will be 09-23, a request for a Variance and then the second one will be 09-25, which is a request for a special exception. Like I said the applicant will have 15 minutes to speak. If there happens to be any opposition they will be able to address the Board for three minutes and then the applicant has an additional five minutes to rebut any opposition. When you come to the podium you will be under a sworn oath to tell the truth. You will address your comments to the Board. If you have any evidence you'd like to submit you may do so. This, the Board of Zoning Appeals is a quasi judicial body which means they're sort of like a court only a little more informal. The decisions that the Board makes will be final subject to the Minutes of today's meeting being approved at next month's meeting. In other words if your applicant, if your application or your request was either approved or denied that will not go into effect until next month after the Minutes are approved. If you're not happy with the decision you can request for the Board to reconsider your application. If that is not granted to you then you would take your complaint or grievance to the Circuit Court and you would have 30 days upon receiving the Order to do so. If you have a cell phone I would ask that you turn it off or quiet it, silence it. If you're planning to speak we need your name and address on the sign up sheet and then when you come to the podium again please clearly state your name and address for the Record. Again are there any questions? If you're planning to testify or speak to the Board I need you at this time to stand up to take the oath to tell the truth. If you'll please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you shall give today shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

AUDIENCE: [Affirmative response]

MS. LINDER: You may be seated. Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you, Ms. Linder. At this time –

MS. LINDER: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. Okay. Mr. Price is going to make some Agenda corrections.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Oh, all right.

MR. PRICE: On the Agenda we have Case 09-25 as a Variance. I'm sorry. I'll pull it up so you can see it. We have Case 09-25 as a V when it's actually, it should be 09-25 SE, it's a special exception. And one more point of clarification. The request is for a Variance to establish a gym/family life center as an accessory structure to a place of worship.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: In a special exception?

MR. PRICE: Yes. Excuse me. Yeah, request a special exception, sorry about 1 that. 2 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. Thank you. 3 MR. PRICE: And on page 13 of your Agenda under Case History it states no 4 records of a previous special exception or Variance request. If you look in your 5 6 discussion there was a special exception request in 2004. I can explain that a little bit more if we need to. But, so that's a correction as far as the case history for that 7 property. And those are my only changes. 8 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. Thank you, Mr. Price. At this time are there 9 any amendments or modifications or motions regarding the August 2009 Minutes? 10 MS. PERRINE: I wasn't here so -11 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Any modifications to the Minutes? All right. Is there a 12 motion? 13 MR. SMITH: I think I came in at 1:03, not 1:08. [Laughter] 14 MS. SWORD: We need to synchronize time. 15 MR. SMITH: Yeah. Make me look bad there. 16 MR. RUSH: Yeah. I'd like to make a motion to accept the, or approve the 17 minutes for August '09. 18 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: As amended or as they stand? 19 20 MR. RUSH: As amended, as amended. MR. COOKE: I second that motion. 21 22 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. All in favor? 23 MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Branham, Rush, Perrine [sic], Cooke, Smith.

[Approved: Branham, Rush, McDuffie, Cooke, Smith; Abstained: Perrine; Absent: Cecere]

MS. PERRINE: I didn't vote. He called me but you've got -

MR. PRICE: Oh, I'm sorry. That's just habit. I apologize.

MS. PERRINE: That's okay.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. At this time we'll open the public hearing portion and if Mr. Price would call our first case.

CASE NO. 09-23 V:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: The first item is Case 09-23 Variance. The applicant is requesting the Board of Zoning Appeals to grant a variance to encroach into the required side yard setback on property zoned RU. The applicant is Richard Cameron, Jr. The location is 89 Mill Creek Road. The parcel size is about an acre and it's residentially used. The subject property as stated has an existing residential structure and the applicant is proposing to construct an accessory structure which will encroach into required side yard setbacks according to the plat that was provided by 14'. The required setbacks in a rural district for an accessory structure on the side and rear would be 20' and he's proposing to encroach into the side. What you have here and I'll [inaudible] is an aerial of the property. And this is the same aerial but if you see the red line that runs along here. The reason why I placed that on the aerial was to show that there's a separation of zoning districts. Mr. Cameron's property is part of the discussion. Mr. Cameron's property and there's a parcel I guess southeast of it are both zoned Rural. All of the parcels south and I guess west of their properties are zoned either single-family, low density or there's a, I think there are a few that are zoned PDD. But as far as square footage of the lot, as far as, you know, the setbacks and the way everything's developed with the other parcels they're all pretty much the same. There's just that his parcel along with another one sit on the wrong side of the zoning line. As you can see here's a plat that was provided showing the exact setback from the property line near the proposed zone 24 by 24, two-car garage. Kind of go through a couple pictures. This is a picture of the primary structure. Over on this side right where this red truck is is the proposed location. I have a few angles. This is the view back toward Middle [sic] Creek Road. This is a picture of the rear of the yard and this is the, I guess the right side of the property when you're facing it. And that's all for the Staff presentation.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. At this time I'd like to call the applicant, Mr. Richard Cameron. Please state your name and address for the Record.

TESTIMONY OF RICHARD CAMERON:

MR. CAMERON: My name is Richard Cameron. I applied so that I could build a two-car accessory building garage. The property that I live on was originally the property of the Faith Presbyterian Church which is the piece that was above the red line that he was showing while ago. And the church built the home for the preacher. That's why it's on that side of that line. I got, I just made a couple extras. This came out of the tax map to show the whole big picture which he's already done with a – I'm not going to use this company but they basically have the plan that I want to build and then a photograph of what it would look like. Of course I'm going to do it in gray, but. But basically it's just so I would have a little bit more storage. The home does have a two-car garage side entry and the way that it's going to be situated with the new garage would be the doors would be facing each other so that you could come up the existing

driveway and turn into the new garage structure. I don't really have much else to add to it other than I hope it gets approved because I do need the extra storage. My wife's run me out of my own garage. She wants to turn it into like another family area so. Any questions for me?

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Are there any questions from the Board for the applicant?

MR. CAMERON: And I believe on the plat that Mr. Price showed, the scaled size, I'm showing six feet off the property line is what I'm asking for and that's, I was going to say six feet and I hope that includes just the footprint of the structure. I wasn't sure how to ask because eaves and overhangs so I would need some clarification if it's approved so that if eaves and overhangs are included in that six foot that I would be able to put the footprint another foot forward. I've got a little bit of room to play with.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Mr. Price, how are -

MR. PRICE: The Land Development Code allows for 24" for eaves and overhangs.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay, so six foot would be sufficient to accommodate the -

MR. PRICE: Should be.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Would anyone care to go through the criteria for a variance or Findings of Facts?

MR. RUSH: Mr. Price, are you? I'm sorry.

MR. PRICE: Go ahead. Sorry.

MR. RUSH: Do you have a picture of the backyard?

2

back of the house.

MR. PRICE: This is [inaudible].

3

that's the fence right there that you're looking back down the, you're looking across the 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SMITH: All right. I'll do that.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you very much.

MR. CAMERON: That area right there my wife and I'd talked about maybe

MR. RUSH: Okay.

putting it there. She doesn't want to put it there because we would like to someday

MR. CAMERON: If you're standing at the end of the driveway the fence is -

install a pool in that area with the pad around it and that would, because from - could

you go to the next one forward? For the backyard, yeah. Thank you. Where the deck

is from right at that corner of the deck the yard takes a fairly drastic drop off down and

that's, when it rains pretty much all the water runs off that way. That's why I'm not

going, I didn't want to put the shop down in the bottom because there's also a drainage

creek that when it rains hard it'll back up and fill up into, my fence actually goes all

[inaudible] and you can't really see down in the shady area but I've seen the creek

actually flood up into that lower area because it has to run under a road into a pond.

But the garage, I wanted to utilize the extra, use the driveway so that I wouldn't have to

spend so much extra money on concrete and extra paving and things of that nature so.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: At this point would anyone care to go through the

And there's plenty of room in that area.

Findings of Facts for a variance?

MR. SMITH: All right. Are there any extraordinary – I'm sorry. Number two. 1 This was posted as a public hearing. Published in the newspaper? 2 3 MR. CAMERON: I didn't know I needed to put it in the paper. CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Staff does that. 4 MR. CAMERON: Oh, you did that? Yeah. Thank you. 5 MR. PRICE: According to Ms. Haynes it was. 6 MR. CAMERON: Okay. 7 MR. SMITH: Are there any exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular 8 9 piece of property? I'd say, no. And I say no because of the other property that's around the actual, the actual lands around the subject property that where it could be placed in 10 and around there. I mean, you said yourself about that where it could be located and so 11 I say, no. 12 MR. CAMERON: No, as in? 13 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Can we open that up for discussion? 14 MR. SMITH: W can open it up for discussion. 15 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I would say that potentially the drainage requirements 16 17 of the property and it consisting of the slope at the back portion of the property would certainly make it more suitable to locate the garage in the proposed spot than to locate 18 it say further back in the property. 19 20 MR. SMITH: Do we have any kind of information? CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: [Inaudible] just presented on that. 21 22 MR. SMITH: Were you going to give us a contour on that?

does drop off and I've seen it when it rains hard it just, all that water running off the hill just runs right down across the backyard and down in the low area down there.

MR. SMITH: Do we have any kind of confirmation – flood? Any kind of supporting information?

in my backyard runs down to that area and from the upper portion of the deck the yard

MR. CAMERON: You can see the stream how it runs through? That, everything

MR. CAMERON: I'm not in a flood zone that I know of. My insurance company doesn't require me to have a flood thing. We had the house reappraised and all but just the driveway being there already and I don't really want to put another driveway on the bottom end down in this low area because even the front yard drops off and right in front of the house about 10, 15' out from the sidewalk the yard has a valley that starts at the driveway and it valleys in and runs down the hill so all the, everything running off that front end goes down there. It almost goes around the house. Everything from the upper yard goes down the back and part of it goes down the front. But like I say all that bottom end is always, it's always damp. I mean, you go down there, I don't even plant, I planted some shrubs but one of them actually drown, it died and when I pulled it out the hole was full of water. It's just always soggy down there.

MR. SMITH: You speak on the right side of the property down there.

MR. CAMERON: From the road it's going to be the right hand side which is down by the creek area there.

MR. SMITH: Okay. But the left side -

MR. CAMERON: The upper part of the driveway -

MR. SMITH: Yes.

MR. CAMERON: - straight across? That area right there where he's got the cursor that's fairly dry all the time, I mean. And there's like a little berm just behind it. I guess when they first developed the house and all it's right along the property line so any rainwater there runs down across the front.

MR. SMITH: I just want to confirm about what you said earlier that the only reason why you don't want to put it there is because you're planning to put a pool there.

MR. CAMERON: The area that we're talking about putting a pool in is going to be off the end of the driveway and to the right a little bit.

MR. SMITH: The same area?

MR. CAMERON: That's where I want to put the pool. The garage is going to be to the left of the driveway.

MR. SMITH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: How far is the neighbor's house there on the side that the proposed garage is going to go?

MR. CAMERON: There is no house there. If you look at that – the tax map plat you can see the property line runs down. That actually belongs to Faith Presbyterian Church and then their property doesn't even go all the way to the Middle Creek Road. It stops abruptly there and I've got a letter. I don't know if he put a copy in the package from the church. I actually went and sat and talked with them and the elders had a meeting and they didn't have a problem with putting a garage there. They seem to be very helpful and friendly. Of course whenever I see some trouble in the back, because the parking lot I can see through the trees, I can see stuff at night and I call the Sheriff and it's, we work together with the church to keep kids out of there because they go

back there and skateboard and they're tearing up the railings and things like that around the church so. But I'm trying to keep the cost of this thing down by utilizing the existing driveway.

MR. COOKE: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to hear your logic on the extraordinary.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I think that we've got a situation here where the neighbors are apparently not opposed to the construction here. It seems like it makes the greatest sense to put it in the location that the applicant is proposing. It's certainly not, doesn't seem like it's going to cause harm to anybody and to sort of hold the full weight of the variance against this or the full weight of the variance criteria against this doesn't make a lot of sense. It seems almost diminimus exception at this point.

MR. PRICE: Yes. We actually have a certified flood plain manager here in Brian Cook who recently passed his test and if you have some questions regarding flow accumulation on the property he can answer those for you.

MR. RUSH: I guess I would like to know what's your perspective on the as far as flow accumulation especially on the back parcel, back corner of their lot.

MR. COOK: While it's not in a flood zone it definitely the contour do go down towards the purple part of the map we see there's, we call flow accumulation. It could be as much as a ditch. It could be a little indention where, you know, water would gather so it probably is accurate to say that it, you know, especially a hard rainfall would tend to flow down there and probably gather in that purple area and back up from there so. How far back up it would be hard to tell just from that but there's definitely some validity to that.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: If the applicant were to be required to relocate the proposed garage from the proposed site and then was to be required to put an additional driveway that that would probably contribute to the runoff issue by creating additional [inaudible]?

MR. COOK: Right. That would be correct.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: So by locating in the proposed spot it would in fact probably the least impact because he would not be creating a lot of additional –

MR. SMITH: That's if it's -

MR. RUSH: Yeah, that's, that's from that, yeah. I -

MR. SMITH: If it was built on the right side it would be, would be proposed what you just mentioned but if it was on the left side where the drier area is –

MR. RUSH: Yeah, right off the -

MR. SMITH: - which is right off the driveway.

MR. RUSH: - the driveway.

MR. SMITH: That doesn't stand.

MR. RUSH: And then I think we'll be within –

MR. SMITH: I understand, you know, personally –

MR. COOKE: Right there.

MR. SMITH: - but in regards to location, I mean, that's a, it actually fits suit to the type of land that you want to build on and I think it's just, you know, to be able to exchange, to make a change of variance for that doesn't really, no matter what it's going to be, how advantageous it's going to be I think it's really the perfect location without

even providing the variance. So I still stand with what, with where I was. The conversation's still open.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I guess I'm just of the opinion that if there's not a, if there's not a nearby neighbor there.

MR. CAMERON: Could I add something? If you look at the aerial photo the right side of the property has lots of large trees that would, I would end up having to make a driveway come off and weave around partially through my front yard to avoid trees and that's just, that would, not that part, the aerial photo that you had earlier. Were you able to zoom on – you had to zoom in on the other one. Yeah. Thank you. But on the right hand side of the property if you come off the road there's several large trees that I would have to veer around and then there's a valley in the front yard where all the water tends to run down through the low spot in the front. I wish I could put a pointer on it but, right in front of the sidewalk it's level off the driveway and the sidewalk in the front and then there's like three trees right in front of the house and right there the land does this number here going down this way and it goes sort of like this across. I'd have to do a lot of grading and then I'd end up clearing some large trees that I really wouldn't want to have to take down in order to keep the driveway from going up around through my side yard plus I have a septic tank that's on the left side of the house that I'd have to avoid as well. But, you know, the upper part of the driveway, there's several houses in my neighborhood that also have accessory buildings that are built right on top of the property line. They maybe inside that zone where they can do it but there's like the first serious, I would call it, structure as you come up into Shadowood neighborhood there's, he's got his house, you go up his driveway he's got a two-car garage with a loft over it and then if you look to the left of it he's also paved in a patched driveway with a sidewalk that goes around to the side of his property and it looks like a little small in-law suite that's been built back there. It looks like a miniature house and it's probably this far, you know, a foot or so from the property line and there's several other homes in the neighborhood that have garages that are built right in there within five to 10' of the property line, you know.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Mr. Price, on the Richland County tax map too there's a, the applicant [inaudible] in this really small parcel labeled 22. It's .12 acres. It's the one just to the left of the parcel there.

MR. CAMERON: The little triangle?

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Over there, to the left.

MR. CAMERON: I believe that belongs to, I don't know why they zoned and drew it like that but I believe that belongs to Ken Potts who actually lives in the house right next to it. And all the neighbors that I've talked to that have seen the sign and over the past few months I've talked with them, they're all, you know, they're all guys out there and they're like yay, yay, you're going to build your garage and I'm like – I ran into one of my neighbors that lives across from me. He says he, we were just chatting back and forth in the grocery store and he said, "So you're going to get your garage finally." And I'm like, "Well I hope so but . . ."

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Would that effectively be an unbuildable parcel anyway, Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: Yeah, based on, well I'm sorry I need to see where that line is, runs. It would more than likely based on the setbacks, the required setbacks that property would be unbuildable.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: So we're pretty much though, the talking about, even if we were to grant a variance to permit this garage to go in the proposed location it's not going to be near another structure, I mean, for all practical purposes probably?

MR. PRICE: You know, unless the church decides to sell off some of this property and, you know, this could be an access or an access off of Dutch Fork Road. I mean, other than that those are the only remaining areas that could be built upon.

MR. CAMERON: But that little triangle piece is, it actually, I believe if memory serves me it does belong to my neighbor because he and I talked about it one time because we'd noticed property pins in the woods kind of weird and he goes, "That piece is mine and here's where yours starts and . . ." So the church doesn't actually have an access to that anymore because since they sold off the plot, it's like an acre and three-tenths to my neighbor David Hancock on the right hand side of me. But that piece over there like I say all they've done, the church has actually cut a hiking trail all through the woods back there. They call it their prayer trail or, or where you can go and think trail kind of thing. The Boy Scouts that they have over there they actually go back there and hike around in there, you know, like I say they're good neighbors back there. They don't get up into the fence and I've never seen them get, you know, the kids don't ever come over close to the house so. But it's basically a hiking trail, nature area that the church has kept. They may build something down the road but they've given me approval and they said they were fine with it so.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you very much. 1 MR. CAMERON: Thank you. 2 MR. COOKE: Read it again. 3 MR. SMITH: Are there any extraordinary or exceptional conditions pertaining to 4 the particular piece of property? I still say no. 5 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I think I made my position clear. I feel like it's sort of a 6 diminimus type exception for a variance. I mean, it doesn't seem like it's going to harm 7 anybody and it will, you know, allow the applicant to [inaudible] his property for future 8 9 development and for him to improve as he sees fit. That's my opinion. MR. SMITH: Okay. I make a motion to deny variance 9-23, Richard Cameron. 10 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We have a motion on the floor to deny variance 09-23; 11 is there a second? 12 MS. PERRINE: I second. 13 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We have a motion and it's been seconded. All those in 14 favor? 15 MR. PRICE: Those in favor are Perrine, Rush, Smith. 16 [Approved: Perrine, Rush, Smith] 17 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And all opposed? 18 MR. PRICE: All opposed are Branham, McDuffie, Cooke. 19 20 [Opposed: Branham, McDuffie, Cooke; Absent: Cecere] CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We have a classic 50/50 split. At this point the motion 21 to deny has failed for lack of a majority and I'll open the floor up for further discussion at 22 23 this point.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Per our by-laws as Ms. Linder's just informed me, in the event of a motion to deny and a tie vote the applicant will have been denied unless there is a motion for approval and that passes. So at this point is there, I will open the floor back up for a motion and is there a motion for an approval?

MR. COOKE: Yeah. I'd like to make a motion to move to approve variance 09-23, based on the Findings of the Facts of the extraordinary circumstances.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I'd like to second. I think that it's basically a diminimus request. All right. We have a motion that's been seconded. All in favor?

MR. PRICE: Those are in favor are: Branham, McDuffie, Cooke.

[Approved: Branham, McDuffie, Cooke]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All opposed?

MR. PRICE: Those opposed: Rush – excuse me, Perrine, Rush, Smith.

[Opposed: Rush, Perrine, Smith; Absent: Cecere]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Motion to approve has failed. At this point I would offer the Board the option of continuing the case until we have the full Board seated next month. If that is the Board's pleasure could I get a motion? Or I'll make a motion to continue the case next month with the full Board.

MR. BRANHAM: Second.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All in favor?

MR. RUSH: So this is –

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: This is to continue the case per the by-laws so that the applicant can hear the [inaudible] in front of the full Board. If we have a full Board we can break a tie at that point.

[Inaudible discussion] 1 MR. PRICE: I was talking to the applicant just now. Well I'll tell you what. Well 2 I'll put this for the Record and you can still decide how you would like to proceed. 3 Another option that he does have is to ask to rezone his property, he and his neighbor 4 from Rural to RS-LD which would -5 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Can the applicant – 6 MR. COOKE: Can we do that? 7 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: That's the Council's [inaudible]? 8 9 MR. PRICE: Yes. That's Planning Commission and County Council, yes, sir. Another option available. 10 MR. COOKE: I guess that's up to him. 11 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: But we have a motion though at this point already and 12 a second and a motion on the floor to continue the case to next month with the hopes 13 that we'll have an off number of Board members or a full Board at that point. 14 MR. COOKE: Before we continue with that. With that option, Mr. Price, I guess I 15 have a question for Staff, with that option that would be an option for him to make that? 16 MR. PRICE: Yes. 17 MR. COOKE: Okay, instead of us? 18 MR. PRICE: Yes. 19 20 MR. COOKE: Okay. CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: He could always withdraw if he decided to go that 21 route? 22 23 MR. COOKE: Yeah.

MR. SMITH: That would be the cleanest way to doing it actually. 1 MR. COOKE: So let's continue with the motion to continue it to next month. 2 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We have a motion on the floor. All in favor of 3 continuing the case to next month? 4 MR. SMITH: To next month? I don't know, I've never heard [inaudible]. 5 MR. RUSH: You have the right to, I mean our by-laws sort of, that's not 6 [inaudible]. 7 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We have in the past offered applicants the opportunity 8 9 to have their case heard in front of the full Board have we not? MR. PRICE: But typically when we do that is prior to the actual, to the actual 10 hearing. I understand [inaudible] this question. Typically that's offered to the applicants 11 prior to the case, his or her case taking place. 12 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: At this point the motion is actually carried so I think the 13 discussion is academic. Mr. Price, would you read off who voted in favor? All in favor 14 please re-raise your hands. 15 [Approved: Branham, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cooke] 16 17 MR. PRICE: Those in favor: Branham, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cooke. CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All opposed? 18 [Opposed: Smith; Absent: Cecere] 19 20 MR. PRICE: Smith. MR. SMITH: I mean, I haven't heard of it. I'm not just trying to be a, you know, 21 harsh in this but I just [inaudible] something proposed and voted on I think you have to 22 23 have a specific reason for him, for that to be forwarded, passed forward.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: It's in the by-laws though.

MR. SMITH: In regards to having any other type of proof, any kind of, I mean, could - I'm lost, I mean. I'm saying that [inaudible] vote no but it's going to pass anyway.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Well at this point we have approved the motion to continue the case to next month barring a change in the [inaudible] application. Mr. Price, will you please call the next case?

CASE NO. 09-25 SE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: The next item is Case 09-25 Special Exception. The applicant is requesting the Board of Zoning Appeals to grant a special exception to establish a gym/family life center [inaudible] as an accessory structure to a place of worship on property zoned RS-HD. The applicant is Joe Jackson, Sr. The location is 1520 Lower Richland Boulevard. The parcel size is a little bit more than three acres and the existing land use is a church. The subject property has a 60,208 square foot church that was built in 1960. It's abutted on the north, south, and west by manufactured homes and mobile homes and on the east by single-family residential structures. Subject property has road frontage on Lower Richland Boulevard and Padget Road. The applicant proposes to construct, excuse me, I have a place of worship; it's actually an accessory use to the place of worship. As stated in the discussion in 2004 a special exception was granted. It served twofold. One was to actually establish the church as, to take the non-conforming, conformity off of the church because of course being in 1960 no zoning but it was there. So the special exception to the non-conforming from a church made it a outrightly permitted use and was also for a 190 square foot addition but because the applicant did not initiate any plans and actually complete the process within a year the special exception expired. We've got to go through a couple of the slides regarding this. As you can see here's the aerial. It fronts on Lower Richland Boulevard and Padget Road. There's a, I guess a mobile home park that's right in the corner which prevents the church from actually having all portions of it abutting those roads. A picture of the church. This is a view from Lower Richland Boulevard. This really, the point of it was to really show you the open area for the church. This is a view from Padget Road; another view. And this is all property of the, for the church. There's a plat of the church, of the church's property. The area that you see striped in red that is the mobile home park. Thirteen, 10 and 11 lots that are identified on this belong to the church. I hope that you can see this a lot better in your packet, but this is kind of a generalized drawing for what they're proposing to put there. If granted approval by the Board of Zoning Appeals as stated there are a number of reviews that will need to be done by Richland County Departments including Planning, Public Works, Fire Marshal, Soil and Water, and one of the request by Staff was that if this were to be granted that the structure be required to face either Padget Road or Lower Richland Boulevard so as people pass by it can, you know, it's actually facing outward as opposed to people looking at the back of a building, and we were going to make a request that landscaping, specific landscaping be required by the Board. However, due to the size of the structure it automatically triggers that the property be brought into compliance regarding landscaping so that would be already initiated if you grant approval.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: At this point I'd like to call Mr. Joe Jackson. Please state your name and address for the Record, sir.

TESTIMONY OF JOE JACKSON:

MR. JACKSON: My name is Joe Jackson, Sr., 8 Sleepy Hollow Lane, Hopkins, SC 29061, requesting a special exception to build a multi-purpose building. It will be used for a gym, it'll be used for special events. Right now we're doing a, feeding the community from the Harvest Hope Bank on the fourth Saturday we have approximately 150 people that comes through there that we feed, and what we want to do is to do a multi-purpose building that we can establish a soup kitchen during the week and also for special events so that we can accommodate and to help better and improve that area in the Lower Richland area.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Thank you very much. Are there any questions at this time for the applicant? All right. Mr. Branham, would you care to go through the Findings of Fact [inaudible]?

MR. RUSH: Help me, Mr. Price, could you help me understand the zoning? So this is on RS-HD?

MR. PRICE: Yes, sir.

MR. RUSH: So it doesn't have proper zoning?

MR. PRICE: No, it –

MR. RUSH: I'm sorry.

MR. PRICE: It does. Places of worship are allowed in pretty much all of the zoning districts. But once you get into the single-family and the residential districts they're allowed but they're required to have a special exception.

MR. RUSH: In order to do anything?

MR. PRICE: Yes.

MR. RUSH: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. All in favor?

MR. PRICE: Those in favor are Branham, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cooke, and

Smith.

MR. BRANHAM: We'll start I guess with question number four. Were the specific requirements for the special exception met? I would say, yes. Will traffic be impacted by this proposal? I would say, no. Will this proposal effect vehicle or pedestrian safety? I would say, no. Is there a potential impact of noise, lights, fumes, or obstructions of air flow on adjoining properties? I would say, no. Number eight, will the proposed use have an adverse impact on the aesthetic character of the environs and I would say, no to that. Is the orientation or spacing and improvements of buildings appropriate? And I would say, yes to that. And based on the Findings of Facts I would like to recommend that we approve Special Exception 09-25.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Would you like to attach the conditions suggested by Staff for the facing of the buildings?

MR. BRANHAM: Yes.

Mr. Price, would you care to repeat the Staff CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: suggestions?

MR. PRICE: Staff recommends that the structure be required to face either Padget Road or Lower Richland Boulevard.

MR. BRANHAM: I agree with that.

MR. SMITH: I second.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Is there a second?

[Approved: Branham, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cooke, Smith; Absent: Cecere] 1 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All right. Mr. Jackson, you have your special exception 2 and Mr. Price will be in touch. 3 MR. JACKSON: Thank you, sir. 4 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Is there any further business for the public hearing 5 portion of this meeting? 6 MR. PRICE: No, sir. 7 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Then I will adjourn the meeting. 8 9 [Meeting Adjourned at 1:50 p.m.] 10